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I. Introduction and Motivation

Why Real-Time Matters...
Introduction

Today’s Sensor Networks: increasing size, pervasiveness, demands and complexity

Modular HW/SW concepts
(service oriented programming)

- Compositional Design

Intense interactions
(with environment / nodes)

- Sporadic & Periodic Events

Highly dynamic environments
(variable system load)

- Performance / Reactivity

Scheduling / Resource Management

Real-Time Operation

Resource sharing is a hard problem in time critical task systems!
Affects tasks, nodes & finally the overall system!
Related Work and Motivation

**WSN research is still too limited to static design concepts!**

Current (operating) systems for WSN/WSAN applications:

- Non-preemptive/run-to-completion tasks (e.g. TinyOS, Contiki)
  - Very common
  - Bad reactivity to sporadic events
- Preemptive tasks (e.g. Mantis, RETOS, SmartOS, threading extensions/libs)
  - Better reactivity might be possible
  - Rarely used
  - Most OS do not cover resource management issues

→ Manual coordination and fine tuning of all tasks still required for proper operation. ←

**Approaches for complex and compositional systems:**

1. Decomposition into more but smaller (hardware) subsystems

2. **Concurrent task systems with cooperative resource sharing**
   (preemptive & prioritized for fast response on various events)
II. Resource Management

... and Dynamic Hinting
WSAN based Localization and Steering System

Application Example

Task S (Sensor) \( p=80 \)
Task L (Localization) \( p=90 \)
Task R (Radio Protocol) \( p=110 \)
Task M (Motor Control) \( p=120 \)
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Preemptive operation yields no instant advantage if a high priority task requires a shared resource which is currently held by any less important task!

→ Priority Inversion and even Deadlocks might occur! ←

Task priorities are not obeyed as desired!
Unexpected behaviour, reduced reactivity & real-time capability!

Solution approaches:

1. Terminate spurious tasks or withdraw resources.
2. Individual task priorities indicate the desired relevance.
   → Adjust task priorities dynamically at runtime according to the current resource assignment situation.
   - Priority Ceiling / Highest Locker Protocol (PCP / HLP)
   - Priority Inheritance Protocol (PIP)
Sensor task requires long-term allocation of the bus resource. → Blocks other (sporadic but more important) tasks. ⇐

Idea: Regular/periodic release allows interleaved bus access.

Resource Allocation via Priority Inheritance Protocol (PIP):

- **Bounded PI** ⇐
  S is unaware of its spurious blocking!

- **Unbounded PI** ⇐
  Already solved by Priority Inheritance!

- **Stream Interruption** ⇐
  Unnecessary overhead!
  S is unaware of the situation!
Sensor task requires long-term allocation of the bus resource.

- Blocks other (sporadic but more important) tasks.

Idea: Regular/periodic release allows interleaved bus access.

Resource Allocation via Priority Inheritance Protocol (PIP):

Central Problem:

A task has no information about its current influence on the system.

- Stream Interruption
  Unnecessary overhead!
  S is unaware of the situation!
Dynamic Hinting – The Concept

Idea:

- Take advantage of the resource manager’s runtime knowledge about current resource allocations & requirements
- Filter this information and forward it to tasks which currently block more relevant tasks.

Hints:

- Hints allow blocking and deadlocked tasks to adopt to the situation and finally to contribute to the system’s overall reactivity and stability.
- Still, the decision between following and ignoring a hint is made by each task autonomously and dynamically at runtime (e.g. by using TUFs).
How does a task receive its hints?

- **EQ: Explicit Querying**
  A task simply queries (e.g. regularly) if it currently blocks another more important task.

  \[
  \text{Resource}^* \ \text{getHint}(\text{currentPrio}^*, \ \text{isDeadlock}^*, \ \text{remainingTime}^*);
  \]

- **EW: Early Wakeup**
  For idle periods, the task instructs the resource manager to wake it early in case of a hint.

  \[
  \text{result}_t \ \text{sleep}(\text{deadline} \ | \ \text{timeout}, \ \text{prioThreshold});
  \]
  \[
  \text{result}_t \ \text{waitEvent}(\text{event}, \ \text{deadline} \ | \ \text{timeout}, \ \text{prioThreshold});
  \]
  \[
  \text{result}_t \ \text{getResource}(\text{resource}, \ \text{deadline} \ | \ \text{timeout}, \ \text{prioThreshold});
  \]
Resource Allocation via Dynamic Hinting and Early Wakeup:

Policy upon a hint: Always perform an immediate stream interruption!

- **Bounded PI**
  - Only for de-allocation!

- **Unbounded PI**
  - Already solved by Priority Inheritance!

Tasks M
(p=120)

Task L
(p=90)

Task S
(p=80)

waitEvent(evStop,∞,100);

- **No periodic Interruptions**
  - No unnecessary overhead!
  - S is aware of the situation!
III. Applications and Test Beds

Real World Performance Results
Integration of Dynamic Hinting into the operating system \textit{SmartOS}

- \textbf{Preemptive tasks} with variable base priorities
- Integrated \textit{timing concept} (1\,\mu s resolution)
- \textbf{Resource protection} mechanism
- Inter-Task communication
- Event handling system (includes IRQ timestamping)

Available for TI MSP430 (Renesas SH2A, AVR under construction):
  \begin{itemize}
  \item ROM size: \textasciitilde4\,KB
  \item RAM size: \textasciitilde100\,B
  \end{itemize}
Application Example – Streamtest

Task S shares a common data bus with two time critical tasks M, R.
- S requires long term allocation of the bus.
- M, R require short but sporadic access to the bus.

Test Modes:
- **AP**: Atomic Packets (regular stream interruption, 2B for header/trailer)
- **EQ**: Explicit Querying (regular check, release only if necessary)
- **EW**: Early Wakeup (S is only resumed in case of a hint)
IV. Conclusion & Outlook

Current and Future Work...
Dynamic Hinting:

Analyzes the current resource situation to provide tasks with information about their spurious blocking of more important tasks.

- On demand resource de-allocations become possible!
- Blocking delays (even BPI) can be reduced significantly.
- Better accounting for the intended task priorities.
- Deadlock-Recovery

⇒ Implementation of cooperative tasks facilitates compositional software-design & real-time operation!

Current / future work:

- Adjust acceptance of hints to the current system situation (TUFs)
- Remote resource management in distributed systems (WSAN)
- Application of model checking in systems with Dynamic Hinting
- End -

Thank you for your attention.
Questions?